the most cost effective method for controlling invasive species. Well-coordinated volunteer or paid boat inspector programs at launch sites provide protection for as yet uninfected lakes.

The results from this study demonstrate that hand harvesting can be used to achieve whole-lake Eurasian watermilfoil control in large lakes. The financial investment required to support intensive hand harvesting is significant and will vary greatly depending on the extent of infestation. For longer term budgeting purposes, stakeholders need to also consider the annual costs of maintenance control. Until other more efficacious methods of control are proven and permitted for use in the Adirondack Park, hand harvesting should be considered a viable management tool for whole-lake control of Eurasian watermilfoil in Adirondack lakes and ponds.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Curt Stiles, Tom Chipperfield, and Tim LaDue were instrumental in helping to design and implement this project. This work would not have been possible without the enthusiastic and conscientious field assistance provided by Paul Smith’s College graduates, Kathy Bozony and Chris Audette. Financial support for this project was provided by the Upper Saranac Lake Foundation.

LITERATURE CITED


ASSessment of Herbicide Efficacy on Eurasian Watermilfoil and Impacts to the Native Submersed Plant Community in Hayden Lake, Idaho, USA
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ABSTRACT

The presence of Eurasian watermilfoil (Myriophyllum sibiricum L.) in aquatic systems has resulted in adverse impacts to native plant communities, ecosystem function, and water resource uses. Eurasian watermilfoil in Hayden Lake, Idaho, has spread rapidly, impacting the native plant community and recreational uses of the lake. Point intercept surveys were conducted to determine the current composition of the plant community and to assess the efficacy of triclopyr and 2,4-D herbicide treatments on Eurasian watermilfoil during the year of treatment. Twenty-two aquatic plant species were identified during four surveys (two of the littoral zone and two focused on herbicide treated areas) conducted between June and September 2007. The presence of Eurasian watermilfoil was reduced in all treated areas by 88% (p < 0.01) 5 weeks after treatment. There was no difference (p = 0.81) in the efficacy between triclopyr and 2,4-D for control of Eurasian watermilfoil. The use of herbicides had no significant deleterious impacts on the native plant community. The percent occurrence of large-leaved pondweed (Potamogeton amplifolius) Tuckerm., Robbins’ pondweed (Potamogeton robbinsii Oakes), and wild celery (Vallisneria americana) Michx. increased after treatment (p < 0.01). Future triclopyr and 2,4-D applications should have minimal to no negative impact on the native plant community in Hayden Lake due to the dominance of monocotyledon species among the native vegetation, which are generally tolerant to these herbicides.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Hayden Lake is located in northern Idaho and has been invaded and colonized by Eurasian watermilfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum* L.) in the past decade. Eurasian watermilfoil was first identified in Hayden Lake in 1998 by the Kootenai County Noxious Weed Program, prompting an operational control program beginning in 1999. During the 1998-1999 growing season there was approximately 243 ha (600 ac) of Eurasian watermilfoil, which represented roughly 16% of the total surface area of Hayden Lake. The initial introduction, spread, and possible reintroduction of Eurasian watermilfoil is likely attributed to increased disturbance within the lake and watershed of Hayden Lake. The shoreline of Hayden Lake is becoming suburbanized with increases in houses, parks, beaches, and impermeable surfaces. This development results in greater runoff into the lake and greater use of the lake for recreation (Hayden Lake Watershed Association 2008). As lake shores become more developed and recreation on the water increases, the level of disturbance also increases, which may result in reductions in native species. Removal or reductions in native species opens a niche for fast-growing colonizing species like Eurasian watermilfoil (Davies et al. 2005, Lockwood et al. 2005, Capers et al. 2007). Also, in recent years, a growing tourist industry has attracted an estimated 800,000 people each year to the region (Hayden Lake Watershed Association 2008), further putting the lake at risk to potential invasion by non-native species.

The threats posed by Eurasian watermilfoil to Hayden Lake and other water bodies in the state have prompted the Idaho State Department of Agriculture (ISDA), in cooperation with the Idaho Invasive Species Council, to develop a Eurasian watermilfoil eradication program (ISDA 2007). As part of this program, suspected waterbodies are being monitored to detect the presence of Eurasian watermilfoil, and those already infested are being monitored to document the extent and spread of Eurasian watermilfoil. The point-intercept method was chosen as the sampling protocol for the program due to the simplicity of data collection and the ability to conduct a quantitative statistical assessment of plant control techniques.

Management of Eurasian watermilfoil from 1999 to 2009 in Hayden Lake included the use of herbicides, diver-operated suction dredging, and bottom barriers. Over the past 10 years, 583 ha (1441 ac) of Eurasian watermilfoil has been managed at an average cost of $2074 ha$^{-1}$ ($838 acre$^{-1}$; Inland Empire Cooperative Weed Management Area, unpublished data). In 2007, the auxin-mimicking herbicides triclopyr (triethyamine (TEA) salt of [(3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridinyl) oxy]acetic acid) and 2,4-D (Butoxyethyl ester of (2,4-dichlorophenox) acetic acid) were selected for controlling Eurasian watermilfoil in Hayden Lake. Triclopyr and 2,4-D have been used in small-plot and whole-lake management programs to control Eurasian watermilfoil and in many instances have shown considerable selectively in removing Eurasian watermilfoil with little to no impact on native plant communities (Getsinger et al. 1982, 1997, 2000, Parsons et al. 2001, Poovey et al. 2004).

While much is known about the use patterns of 2,4-D and triclopyr on an anecdotal basis, there are relatively few published accounts of case studies using these products. The objectives of this study were to (1) conduct early and late season surveys of the littoral zone of Hayden Lake to assess the current plant community and (2) conduct pre- and post-herbicide treatment surveys to assess the level of control of Eurasian watermilfoil and impacts on nontarget native plant species throughout the lake where treatments using triclopyr and 2,4-D were performed.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study Site**

Hayden Lake (47°46’1.167”N; 116°42’24.165”W) is located in northern Idaho and is approximately 1568 ha in total area. Hayden Lake has a maximum depth of 54 m and mean depth of 28 m (Bellatty 1990). The major land use surrounding the lake is agriculture and grazing. There are approximately 43 km of shoreline, of which more than 85% is developed (Bellatty 1990). The primary uses of the lake are recreational including boating, skiing, and fishing. Hayden Lake is oligotrophic with water clarity (Secchi depths) exceeding 7 m, and the lake is considered to be nutrient poor with total nitrogen (NO$_3^-$+ NO$_2^-$) <0.01 mg/L and total phosphorus <0.009 mg/L (Bellatty 1990, Hayden Lake Watershed Association 2005, 2006). In 2006, chlorophyll $a$ concentrations were <0.002 mg/L, and dissolved oxygen ranged from 8.4 to 11.7 mg/L (Hayden Lake Watershed Association 2006). Historically, conductance was recorded to be 56 to 60 µmhos, total alkalinity as CaCO$_3$, was approximately 24 to 26 mg/L, and water pH ranged from 7.0 to 7.7 (Bellatty 1990).

**Littoral Zone Surveys**

The point intercept survey method was used to determine the presence of aquatic plants in the littoral zone of Hayden Lake on a 200-m grid (Madsen 1999). The littoral zone was defined using the 5-m depth contour of the lake as determined by the Idaho State Department of Agriculture. An early season survey of the littoral zone consisting of 104 sample points was conducted in June of 2007 (Figure 1). These same sample points were revisited in September 2007 to assess late season changes in the plant community. The surveys were conducted by boat using a Trimble AgGPS106™ receiver connected to a Panasonic Toughbook computer to achieve 1-3 m survey accuracy. At each survey point, a weighted plant rake was deployed twice to determine the presence and identification of aquatic plant species. Additionally, the depth at each point was recorded using a depth finder mounted to the hull of the boat.

Spatial data collected during the surveys were recorded in the computer using FarmWorks Site Mate® software. Data were recorded in database templates using specific pick lists constructed for each survey. Site Mate® provided an environment for displaying geographic and attribute data and enabled navigation to specific locations on the lake (Wersal et al. 2006a, 2007). Survey data were reported as the percent occurrence for each plant species. Species richness was cal-

---

culated as the mean number of species present at each point and presented as the mean (±1 SE) of all species observed at each point.

**Herbicide Assessment**

Herbicide assessment surveys were conducted similar to the littoral surveys; however, a 100-m grid was used to increase sampling intensity in the areas of Eurasian watermilfoil control (Figure 2). The pretreatment survey was conducted in June 2007 and consisted of 140 points. Herbicide treatments were made on 30 and 31 July 2007. The post-treatment survey was conducted in September 2007, 5 weeks after treatment (WAT) using the same points as the pretreatment survey.

The assessment surveys evaluated the effectiveness of triclopyr applied as liquid Renovate® and 2,4-D applied as granular Navigate® for the control of Eurasian watermilfoil (Figure 3). Triclopyr was applied as a subsurface application to achieve a target concentration of 1.5 mg ae L⁻¹ (mg acid equivalent per liter). The 2,4-D was applied using a granular spreader at rate of 112.3 to 168.0 kg ha⁻¹ to achieve a target concentration of 1.5 mg ae L⁻¹. All applications were performed by a licensed commercial applicator. Because Hayden Lake is very deep, the water temperature does not warm sufficiently to allow plant growth until late June or early July. The applications in late July are an appropriate time to target actively growing plants. Water samples were collected by the Kootenai County Weed Board at 1 and 2 days after treatment (DAT) for triclopyr applications and 1, 2, 3, 14, and 42 DAT for most areas treated with 2,4-D. The samples were shipped to Anatek Labs (Moscow, ID) for herbicide residue analyses. Analytical methods followed those outlined in EPA 8321B, high performance liquid chromatography.

**Statistical Analyses**

Eurasian watermilfoil control was evaluated using McNemar’s Test for dichotomous response variables using SAS to analyze differences in the presence of Eurasian watermilfoil between the pre- and post-treatment surveys. McNemar’s Test assesses differences in the correlated proportions within a given data set between variables that are not independent (i.e., sampling the same points over time; Stokes et al. 2000, Wersal et al. 2006b, Madsen et al. 2008). The same analysis was conducted to compare the efficacy of triclopyr and 2,4-D.

---
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for treating Eurasian watermilfoil. A paired-T test was used to assess differences in littoral native species richness and differences in native species richness for each herbicide between the pre- and post-treatment surveys (Statistix 8.0; Analytical Software 2003). All analyses were conducted at a p = 0.05 level of significance.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Littoral Zone Surveys

During the initial survey of Hayden Lake we observed 18 different species of aquatic plants, with 17 species considered native (Table 1). Wild celery (Vallisneria americana Michx.) was considered a native species for the purposes of our analyses, although there is debate as to whether this species is native to the Northwestern United States. The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA 2009) reports wild celery to be native to the lower 48 States. Crow and Hellquist (2000) report wild celery to be introduced to Washington with no mention of other western states. The littoral zone was dominated by pondweed (Potamogeton) species, particularly Robbins’ pondweed (Potamogeton robbinsii Oakes) and large-leaved pondweed (Potamogeton amplifolius Tucker). Robbins’ pondweed had a frequency of occurrence of 52% and 66% for the early and late season surveys, respectively. Large-leaved pondweed had a frequency of occurrence of 31% and 42% during this same time period.

Native plant species richness increased (p < 0.01) from 1.5 species per point during the early season survey to 2.1 species per point during the late season survey. We attribute some of the increase in species richness to the seasonality of native species, especially increases in the presence of some pondweeds. Pondweeds are adapted to grow in low light environments and likely expanded into deeper water habitats as the growing season progressed and temperatures increased, stimulating propagule germination and growth (Spence and Chrystal 1970a, 1970b, Madsen and Adams 1989, Wersal et al. 2006b). Alternatively, Eurasian watermilfoil had a frequency of occurrence of 15% during the early season survey and was observed at <1% of the points during the late season survey. The majority of Eurasian watermilfoil was located in areas of the lake targeted specifically for herbicide applications; therefore, a reduction in species presence is attributed to the use of herbicides and not natural senescence. Eurasian watermilfoil populations in northern areas such as Lake Washington, Washington (Perkins and Sytsma 1987) or Buckhorn Lake, Ontario (Painter 1988) typically are at or near their biomass peak during late summer (Aug and Sep).

Figure 3. Eurasian watermilfoil herbicide treatment areas on Hayden Lake, ID, 2007.

Herbicide Assessment

A total of 83 ha (26 ha triclopyr, 57 ha 2,4-D) of Eurasian watermilfoil was treated with herbicides in Hayden Lake during the 2007 season. Water samples collected 1 and 2 d after herbicide applications indicated that measured concentrations of triclopyr were low in all treated areas, indicating rapid degradation or dissipation of the herbicide, considering the target rate was 1.5 mg ae L$^{-1}$ (Table 2). These findings are consistent with other field trials using liquid triclopyr where highest concentrations in treated areas were observed between 4 and 8 h after application, followed by rapid (70 to 90%) degradation and dissipation within 24 h after application (Getsinger et al. 1997, 2000, Poovey et al. 2004). Triclopyr degradation in lakes and ponds is rapid, with half-lives ranging from 0.5 to 7.5 d, depending on degree of water exchange at the treated locations (Woodburn et al. 1993, Petty et al. 2001, 2003). However, overall control of Eurasian watermilfoil in triclopyr plots (26 ha) was 91%.

The presence of Eurasian watermilfoil was reduced in all treated areas by 88% (p < 0.01) from the pretreatment survey to the post-treatment survey (Table 3). There was no difference (p = 0.81) in the reduction of Eurasian watermilfoil between the triclopyr and 2,4-D treated areas. The use of triclopyr resulted in 91% control of Eurasian watermilfoil at 5 WAT. Similar results were achieved in the Pend Oreille River, Washington, where overall biomass of Eurasian watermilfoil was reduced by 99% within the year of treatment and maintained 99% and 72% control in the treated areas at 1 year after treatment (Getsinger et al. 1997). In Lake Minnetonka, Minnesota, Eurasian watermilfoil was significantly reduced within the year of treatment through the use of triclopyr (Getsinger et al. 2000, Poovey et al. 2004).
Water samples collected in 2,4-D treated areas showed residues between 0 and 0.71 mg ae L⁻¹ to 2 DAT (Table 2). Based on concentration exposure time relationships, a 2,4-D concentration of 0.25 mg ae L⁻¹ should yield >85% control if maintained for at least 72 hr (Netherland and Getsinger 1992). Herbicide residues of 0.10 to 0.47 mg ae L⁻¹ were reported at 3 to 4 DAT in most 2,4-D treated areas. The slower rate of dissipation and degradation of 2,4-D compared to triclopyr is likely due to the slow release of 2,4-D from the granular formulation, as opposed to the use of the triclopyr in a liquid formulation. Observed control of Eurasian watermilfoil in Hayden Lake 2,4-D plots (57 ha) was 83%. Like triclopyr, 2,4-D offered excellent control of Eurasian watermilfoil 5 WAT. The use of granular 2,4-D resulted in significant reductions in the Eurasian watermilfoil population in Lake Quonnipaug, Connecticut (Bugbee and White 2004). Likewise, granular 2,4-D was efficacious in removing variable watermilfoil (Myriophyllum heterophyllum) in Bashan Lake, Connecticut (Bugbee et al. 2003). The use of 2,4-D is common in Eurasian watermilfoil control programs and has typically offered control during large scale treatments in lakes with little to no nontarget plant injury (Couch and Neslon 1992).
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1982, Getsinger et al. 1982, Parsons et al. 2001). In Loon
Lake and Lake Osoyoos, Washington, the use of 2,4-D result-
ed in >85% control of Eurasian watermilfoil 1 year after
treatment (Killgore 1984, Parsons et al. 2001).

The use of herbicides to control Eurasian watermilfoil had no significant negative impact on the native plant community. In general, the occurrence of most species did not significantly change after herbicide application (Table 3); however, the occurrence of large-leaved pondweed, Robbins’ pondweed, and wild celery increased by 69% (p < 0.01), 29% (p < 0.01), and 90% (p = 0.01), respectively. As a taxonomic group, the native pondweeds comprised the majority of plant species observed in Hayden Lake. The prevalence of monocot species is significant because these plant species are minimally affected by auxin herbicides (Sprecher and Stewart 1995, Sprecher et al. 1998), and in this study on Hayden Lake there were no significant adverse effects of herbicide applications on monocot or native dicotyledon species (dicot). In fact, it seems that the removal of Eurasian watermilfoil may have been a factor in increasing the presence of some plant species.

Other studies have documented that native species will re-
colonize those areas where Eurasian watermilfoil was re-

ed to the emergence of native pondweed species following the herbicide treatment. This same study reported that the dicot community was impacted initially by the application of triclopyr; however, once the Eurasian watermilfoil was re-

moved native dicot species actually increased in abundance
(Getsinger et al. 1997). In Loon Lake, Washington, the use of 2,4-D significantly reduced Eurasian watermilfoil presence and biomass while not significantly impacting the native plant community (Parsons et al. 2001). The removal of Euro-

asian watermilfoil and its canopy increases light penetration into the water column and increases available space for plant coloniza-

tion, which results in increased growth of and competition from native species. Increases in native species may deter recolonization by Eurasian watermilfoil (Madsen 1994).

The presence of Eurasian watermilfoil in Hayden Lake was significantly reduced following the application of herbicides. The use of the point intercept survey facilitated the quantitative assessment of a lake-wide Eurasian watermilfoil control program for Hayden Lake, and also allowed quantitative documentation and tracking of native plant species over the growing season. The use of these herbicides result-
ed in the selective removal of Eurasian watermilfoil with no significant negative impact to native plant species. In fact, the removal of Eurasian watermilfoil may have resulted in increases in some native pondweeds and wild celery. Future applications of triclopyr and 2,4-D in Hayden Lake should have

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species Common Name</th>
<th>% Occurrence Pre Treatment</th>
<th>% Occurrence Post Treatment</th>
<th>% Change a p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Callitriche sp.</td>
<td>Water-starwort</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Ceratophyllum demersum L. | Coontail             | 19                         | 16                | 0.66
| Chara sp.            | Muskgg 4                  | 0                          |                   |
| Elodea canadensis Michx. | Elodea               | 39                         | 39                | 0.99
| Juncus pelocarpus Mey. | Rush                  | 7                          | 4                 | 0.10
| Myriophyllum sibiricum Komarov | Northern watermilfoil 0       | 2                          |                   |
| Myriophyllum spicatum L. | Eurasian watermilfoil 34 | 4                          | -88               | <0.01
| Najas flexilis (Willd.) Rostk. & Schmidt | Slender naiad, bushy pondweed 0 | 2                          |                   |
| Nitella sp.          | Nitella 5                 | 0                          |                   |
| Nuphar lutea L.      | Yellow pond-lily 9        | 12                         |                   | 0.41
| Potamogeton amplifolius Tuckerm | Large-leaved pondweed 13 | 42                         | +69               | <0.01
| Potamogeton crispus L. | Curlyleaf pondweed 1       | 2                          |                   | 0.65
| Potamogeton ephedrus Raf. | Ribbonleaf pondweed 0      | 2                          |                   | —
| Potamogeton foliaceus Raf. | Leafy pondweed 4       | 0                          |                   | —
| Potamogeton gramineus L. | Variableleaf pondweed 1   | 2                          |                   | 0.31
| Potamogeton natans L. | Floating-leaved pondweed 6 | 5                          |                   | 0.73
| Potamogeton perfoliatus Wulf. | Whitestem pondweed 16     | 10                         |                   | 0.06
| Potamogeton richardsonii (Ar. Benn.) Rydb. | Clasping-leaved pondweed 3 | 2                          |                   | 0.70
| Potamogeton robbinsii Oakes | Robbins’ pondweed 50       | 70                         | +29               | <0.01
| Potamogeton zosteriformis Fern. | Flat-stemmed pondweed 19  | 14                         |                   | 0.14
| Ranunculus aquatilis L. | White water-buttercup 6   | 2                          |                   | 0.06
| Vallisneria americana Michx. | Wild celery 1       | 7                          | +90               | 0.01

Native Species Richness (per point) 1.9 ± 0.1 2.3 ± 0.1  <0.01
Non-native Species Richness (per point) 0.4 ± 0.1 0.1 ± 0.0  0.02
Mean Water Depth (m) 2.3 ± 0.3 2.1 ± 0.4  —

*Percent change is only reported for species showing a statistically significant change.
minimal to no detrimental/negative impact on the native plant community based on these findings. No single treatment of any herbicide is likely to eradicate all the invasive species in 1 year; long-term management will require persistent monitoring, management activity, and assessment of that activity. A long-term management plan should be developed and incorporate not only year-of-treatment management evaluations, but also long-term monitoring of the aquatic plant community. Intensive monitoring has been cited as the only effective way to determine a program’s success and when to terminate a management program (Simberloff 2005).
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